PHONE NO. :

Oct. 08 2002 10:31AM P5

REMARKS

Telephone Conversation

First of all, I thank Examiner Sheikh for taking the time to speak with me at length about this application on 2 October 2007.

Pending Claims

The applicants are not seeking to amend any of the claims previously presented, so claims 1 and 3-6 are still pending.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC §103

All the pending claims stand rejected as being obvious in view of a hypothetical combination of the teachings of the Spurgeon and Houvener references. Appended to this document is a four-page spreadsheet that shows in detail how several claimed features of the applicants' invention are missing from both Spurgeon and Houvener, whether they are taken separately or combined. Just two differences, which make the invention much easier and more versatile to use in contexts such as reporting of worked time are

- The central system of the invention operates "automatically, that is, independent of any required human intervention. The invention does not require the installation of any specialized software at the user's site; for example, a worker at a job site can report his time by sending a common paper form using a standard fax machine, and does not have to be at a computer terminal at all, much less one that has special software. In contrast, Spurgeon (col. 3, lines 9-11) requires the installation of special software for translation (see col. 3 lines 44-49, col.7, lines 45-64, and col. 8, line 9). The invention allows users to continue to use existing equipment, and physical forms (such as simple paper) as opposed to always requiring manual data entry via a computer keyboard.
- 2) The invention as claimed also provides for "interactive and iterative review, modification or annotation" of submitted forms. The cited references (including those

Serial No. 10/003,341 Art Unit 3627

Page 5 of 10

Docket: Call-Tell MP

FROM: REPORTEE

PHONE NO. :

Oct. 08 2002 10:32AM P6

oited in the many previous Office actions) lack any notion of or mechanism for implementing iterative interactivity.

There are of course many other differences between the applicants' invention as claimed, many of which are summarized in the attached spreadsheet.

Conclusion

Despite at least eight Office actions and three examiners, the Office has yet to cite any prior art that alone or in combination discloses all of the claimed features of the applicants' invention. Consequently, the pending claims should now be allowable.

Date: 8 October, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

34825 Sultan-Startup Rd. Sultan, WA 98294 Phone: (425)-210-9122

Fax: (360) 793-6687

Jeffrey Pearce Reg. No. 34,729

Attorney for the Applicants

Serial No. 10/003,341 Art Unit 3627

Page 6 of 10

Docket: Call-Tell MP

Comparison table with Spurgeon and Houvenor.xls

FROM: REPORTEE

rocated at the point of		AA	fields in the received electronic representation of the form; and	•
processor, which is			ii) identifying the tocation of the data	
transaction data				
database site to the				
links the remote				
communications link				
provided. A				
records is also				
transaction data				
database of				
stored therein a				
database site having				
record. A remote				
transaction data				
generating a		claims	the parameters:	
data and for	*************************************	processing, and payment of provider	of at least a partial value of at least one of	
scanned transaction		provides for the preparation, submission,	fields, each corresponding to an indicator	
brocessing me			sender, the form having a plurality of data	
inciduca ioi	•		least one parameter from at least one	
inclinated for			which embodies a report concerning at	
processor is also	me increased speed.	received	the physical form,	intervention
transaction data	the included by the image requests produces	into an exchange database no mages	channel, the electronic representation of	human
use location.	the manner and the contract	computer translates the mount of the	of the physical form over a transmission	any required
located at a point of	conduit for all acreets of		transmittable, electronic representation	9
includes a scanner	system as a continuous		conversity of previous consequention	
processing system	high-speed, computerized	electronic representation of the	converting a physical form into a	Antoinacemy
The mansaction data	telephone and mail with a	not convert, does not present	i) receiving directly from a device for	famadia allin
	"The replacement of fax,	Does not receive from a device, does		
central system	cant be processing images	Information exchange computer	in a central computer system,	comprising,
method NOT in a				method
proceesing system and				reporting
transaction data				collection and
				1. deta
a y dan market	most more more smooth	apuigeon is a pass unougn	we are a depository	
avatems		Chierana is a page through		
systems		Chirmann is a page through		

PACE 7/10 * RCVD AT 10/8/2007 1:22:38 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):07-22

Page 8 of 10

the at least	the sell agency	the fou and compu		associating the contractor third parties;	iii) toth report a in a ore memory data stored in for at le central system periv-sp	Wt are
the at least one parameter includes time to ked by the sender on behalf of the	the sender is a person referred by the agency to the client and performs work	the fourth party is a client of the agency arc communicates with the central computer system, the agency and client computer cortractually related entities:	in which: the third party is an agency that communicates with the central computer multiple health car system using an agency computer system; to each subscriber	e received report with the third party; and least one fourth party with nd with at least one of the	iii) toth extracting report data from the report and storing the extracted report data in a predetermined common format in a memory for subsequent processing: for at least one third party, storing a set of party-specific rules in the memory;	We are a depository
Z	N N	The system includes an information-exchange computer that istranslates the insurance data into an exchange database, and pushes the subscriber insurance data out over the Internet to the computer operated by the health-care provider assigned to each subscriber	multiple health care providers assigned to each subscriber	provides for the preparation, submission, processing, and payment of provider claims over the local area network and with push technology over the Internet	NA data stored in provider database	Spurgeon is a pass through
		In the course of this transmission, the information-exchange system translates and reformats the information as required for the receiving utilization review software, which may be running on the insurer's or third party review agency's computer.				quotes from Spurgeon
N/A	no work tasks performed	customer does not	retailer communicates via communications link not computer	transaction with retailer customer is associated with retailer	transaction data processor are then bransmitted to the remote database site, where they are stored in the transaction data record database. retailer- no party specific rules	systems N/A The transaction data record(s) generated by the

Comparison table with Spurgeon and Houvenor xis

posted directly Acceptance is transmission accessible via a publicly into the center zerwork, automatically, that is, independent of any agency and client, respectively, including We are a depository returning the requested report data to the rules, according to the respective party-specific of the extracted report data defined agency and the client sent through their required human intervention and responding to the access requests by respective computer systems for portions receiving access requests from the the provider computer and to a specialist system for review by the insurer computer. specialist's PMS. The technology over the Internet computer, frequired, using push Processed reviews are transmitted back to reviews, both approved and exchanged over the information-exchange initiated in the provider computers and prior authorization requests may be computers using open database-Internet to health-care provider proprietary catabase and over the an insurer computer using a connected over a local area network to Spurgeon is a pass through compliani databases reformats the reviews for the exchange database located transmitted to the original disapproved, are also office which translates and exchange system then quotes from Spurgeon computer at the specialist's specialist provider interface system receives the on the information-exchange data for storage in an automatically translating the the resulting data system includes software health care provider transmits the review to a treatment, the informationapproved for specialist database . If the request is this data for the exchange and translates and reformats authorized or denied reques the information-exchange database format in use. the particular insurer customized to accommodate computer. The translator is insurer database and imports database requests to the translator which makes server module and includes a software is referred to as a computer or web server. This information-exchange installed and operable on the the information-exchange LAN. In another client report in hard given to client in the copy. No access is scanner produces and would be connected from the user's premises would be truly "remote" smaller system users of use terminal. separated from the poin Houvenor is disparate system multiple system users this embodiment, thereto over a WAN. In the remote database site embodiment, which of use terminal over a connected to each point However, it would be systems remote database site. would use a single would be tailored to situation would mean "Remote" in this

Comparison table with Spurgeon and Houvenor, xls

Comparison table with Spurgeon and Houvenor.xls

				Houvenor is disparate
	We are a depository	Spurgeon is a pass through	quotes from Spurgeon	systems
			The providers and insurers can transmit clinical and business Information back	
			and forth automatically without having to translate directly between the incompatible databases.	
common	completing any requests for interactive and iterative review, modification or annotation	static review	<u>e</u>	N/A
	inclusive, of the report data by the agency and client according to each party's respective party-specific rules,	client rules only		no rulles variance
	whereby the central computer system is an intermediary system between the sender on the one hand and the agency	a system that allows the insurers and providers to continue to use their	"The tuner in the client application will then push the changed information to the transmitter on the information-exchange computer for entry into the exchange database	
3 Interfaces in the same	and client on the other hand and exposes different interfaces to the sender, agency and client:	time, reap the benefits of automatic exchange of insurance information.	and ultimately the insurer database."	one interface only given to agency(retailer) only
	converting the extracted report data into a format specified by the rules associated with that third party.	rules associated with fourth party		N/A -no extraction
	whereby third parties operating different hardware platforms and processing software may receive and process extracted report data from the same memory within the central computer system; and	a system that allows the insurers and providers to continue to use their existing applications and, at the same time, reap the benefits of automatic exchange of insurance information.	A provider interface portion of the information-exchange system running on the provider's PC also translates and reformats the information for the provider's specific PMS application and transmits it over a LAN to the computer running the PMS application.	transaction data processor hands off data to third parties not central computer system
	transferring a copy of the extracted report data to the third party via the network, whereby the transferred data resides at and is available for processing by the third party.	Ν̈́Α		The transaction data record(s) generated by the transaction data processor are given to it by third pary on site
		Page 10 of 10		

Page 10 of 10